

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						N 11
		Α	pplication No	١.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		1	10/635,558		CHEN, CHING-CHIR	4 V
		E	xaminer		Art Unit	
		1	ho v Duong		3743	
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu	nication appear	rs on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
A SHORTENE THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD I DATE OF THIS COMMUN e may be available under the provision THS from the mailing date of this com ply specified above is less than thirty (ply is specified above, the maximum s thin the set or extended period for repl I by the Office later than three months in adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. is of 37 CFR 1.136(a) imunication. (30) days, a reply with statutory period will a ly will, by statute, cau). In no event, how hin the statutory m pply and will expire use the application	vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status						
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>07 Augu</i>	ıst 2003.			
	on is FINAL .	2b)☐ This ac		ial.		
3) Since thi	s application is in conditior	n for allowance	except for fo	rmal matters, pro	secution as to the m	nerits is
closed in	accordance with the pract	tice under <i>Ex p</i>	oarte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Cla	aims					
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-9 is/are pending in the ase above claim(s) is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to is/are subject to restriction	are withdrawn				
Application Pape	rs					
9)□ The spec	ification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.				
10)□ The draw	ing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) 🗌 accepte	ed or b)□ ob	jected to by the E	xaminer.	
Applicant	may not request that any obje	ection to the drav	wing(s) be held	l in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	ent drawing sheet(s) including or declaration is objected t	_	•			• •
Priority under 35	-					,
12) Acknowle a) All b) 1. Ce 2. Ce 3. Co	edgment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: Printified copies of the priority Pr	documents had documents had of the priority on all Bureau (P	ave been reco ave been reco documents h PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Applicatio ave been receive (a)).	on No d in this National St	age
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Referen		3TO 0/5	4) 🗌	Interview Summary (
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (fosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Date			Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te atent Application (PTO-15	52)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species are identified as the species of figures 2;5;6 and 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,558

Art Unit: 3743

Page 3

A telephone call was made to Mr. David E. Dougherty on 6/23/2004 (a message was left) to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election

being made.

1.143).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Tho Duong whose telephone number is (703) 305-0768. The examiner can normally be reached on from 9:30-6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennet, can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

TD

TD

June 24, 2004

Tho Duong

Thransur

Patent Examiner.